ERADICATION OF DEATH BY ZIP CODE Save our babies



How does your state measure up? See the reverse page for the RUSP implementation state score card...

"My husband is a member of the U. S. Navy so we move a lot because of his service. When I found out we were moving to Connecticut, I didn't want to go. However I am now thankful every day we were sent there because it could literally be a difference of life and death for my son, Nash. He was born in 2017 and diagnosed with X-ALD thanks to Connecticut's newborn screen program. Had he been born in Tennessee or Virginia (other states we have lived in as a military family), we would not have known about his disease and we would not be able to provide him with the life-saving monitoring he needs. Tennessee was not testing for X-ALD at the time of his birth and Virginia still isn't testing their babies for this disease. Why should a child's zip code dictate whether they live or die?"

—Lauren Wilson, Virginia





Aidan lost his battle with X-ALD at age 7. This appropriations language acknowledges that the slow implementation of RUSP approved conditions means over 1,000 babies will needlessly die or face permanent disability – simply based on which state they were born in.

To honor Aidan and the thousands of babies that have lost their lives to a late diagnosis, Congress should provide \$15 million annually in streamlined CDC funding directly to states for costs associated with implementing newborn screening for all RUSP conditions so every state has complete newborn screening by 2025, saving thousands of lives and medical costs.

	Screens for this many RUSP conditions	Births/Year	ALD	MPSI	Pompe	SMA	How many of 4 RUSP conditions?
Alabama	31	60.000	No	No	No	Yes	1
Alaska	31	11,500	No	No	No	No	0
Arizona	33	85,000	Yes	No	No	Yes	2
Arkansas	31	39,000	No	No	No	No	0
California	35	500,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Colorado	32	67,000	No	No	No	Yes	1
Connecticut	35	36,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Delaware	35	11,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
DC*	34	10,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	3
Florida	35	225,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Georgia	35	130,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Hawaii	31	18,000	No	No	No	No	0
Idaho	35	23,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Illinois	35	155,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Indiana	35	84,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
lowa	32	40,000	No	No	No	Yes	1
Kansas	34	38,000	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Kentucky	35	56,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Louisiana	34	63,000	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Maine	35	13,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Maryland	34	74,000	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	<u>3</u>
Massachusetts	35*	71,000	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	· · ·
Michigan	35	114,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Minnesota	35	70,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Mississippi	34 35	38,000	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Missouri		75,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Montana	32 35	12,000	No	No	No	Yes	1
Nebraska		27,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Nevada	31	36,000	No	No	No	No	0
New Hampshire	35	12,300 103 000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
New Jersey	34	100,000	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
New Mexico	33	25,000	No	Yes	Yes	No	2
New York	35	250,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
North Carolina	32	121,000	Yes	No	No	Yes	2
North Dakota	32	12,000	No	No	No	Yes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ohio	34	140,000	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
Oklahoma	35	53,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Oregon	33	46,000	No	Yes	Yes	No	2
Pennsylvania		140,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
Rhode Island	35	11,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4
South Carolina	33	58,000	No	Yes	Yes	No	1
South Dakota	32	12,500	No	No Yes	No	Yes	4
Tennessee		81,000	Yes		Yes	Yes	2
Texas	33	400,000 51,000	Yes	No	No	Yes	2
<u>Utah</u>	33		Yes	No	No	Yes	4
Vermont	35	6,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Virginia		103,000	No	Yes	Yes	No	2
Washington	35	91,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4 2
West Virginia		20,000	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Wisconsin	33	67,000	No	No	Yes	Yes	2
Wyoming	32	7,500 3,991,800	No	No	No	Yes	1